

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,291	10/31/2003	Martin T. Gerber	P-9492.00US	1410
²⁷⁵⁸¹ MEDTRONIC	7590 04/05/2007 INC.	EXAMINER		
710 MEDTRO	NIC PARK	MANUEL, GEORGE C		
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55432-9924		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
-	•		3762	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	NTHS	04/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	€D	
ldress		
0) DAYS,		
ommunication.		
e merits is		
FR 1.121(d). FO-152.		
Stage		

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/698,291 GERBER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	George Manuel	3762			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ja	nnuan/ 2007				
		action is non-final.				
′=	-,_		ecoution as to the morits is			
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayre, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	55 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
_	•	_				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception					
10)						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •	• • •			
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• •			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	ity documents have been receive				
* 0	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d			
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	u.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
_	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application			
S Patent and To	r No(s)/Mail Date	o) [_] Other				

Application/Control Number: 10/698,291

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-3, 7-14, 16-24, 28-35, 37-55 and 58-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Dahl et al (US 5,531,779).

Dahl et al disclose a first ring electrode 46 and a second ring electrode 48 that retain wire-like elements 50 that are expandable to fix the lead body at a tissue target site. The lead disclosed in Dahl et al is capable of being used as a neurostimulation lead and as such meets the claim limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,291

Art Unit: 3762

Claims 15 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dahl et al (US 5,531,779)

One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to double the number of electrodes disclosed in Dahl et al because it is well known to duplicate parts.

In re Harza, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960) (Claims at issue were directed to a water-tight masonry structure wherein a water seal of flexible material fills the joints which form between adjacent pours of concrete. The claimed water seal has a "web" which lies in the joint, and a plurality of "ribs" projecting outwardly from each side of the web into one of the adjacent concrete slabs. The prior art disclosed a flexible water stop for preventing passage of water between masses of concrete in the shape of a plus sign (+). Although the reference did not disclose a plurality of ribs, the court held that mere duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced.).

Claims 4-6, 25-27, 56 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dahl et al (US 5,531,779) in view of Tu et al (US 6,077,298).

Dahl et al show all of the claimed features as discussed above except for the wire-like elements including a shape memory alloy, supper-elastic material, or Nitinol.

Tu et al teach using shape-memory Nitinol material to construct a stent.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to use the shapememory Nitinol material disclosed in Tu et al to for the wire-like elements disclosed in Dahl et al because the wire-like elements provide an equivalent function as that disclosed for the stent in Tu et al. Art Unit: 3762

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/22/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Electrodes 46 and 48 provide a plurality of electrodes and they are positioned axially with respect to each other and with respect to the filaments 50, see Fig. 6. The filaments may also be bent so that the electrodes 46 and 48 are not coaxial with one another.

As can be seen in Fig. 6, the filaments have a larger radial diameter than the sheath 54 and therefor provide a means for fixation.

In response to applicant's argument that Dahl does not contemplate using the electrodes for other applications and does not disclose or suggest a lead that is configured for sacral, pudendal, or spinal cord applications, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/698,291

Art Unit: 3762

Page 5

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Manuel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4952.